The Crime of Aggression
within the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

In brief

Article 1 of the Charter of the United Nations (UN) cites the “suppression of acts of aggression” as a primary purpose of the UN. When adopted in 1998, the Rome Statute (RS), which provides for individual accountability, as opposed to States’ or governments’ responsibility, listed – although did not then define – “the crime of aggression” as the fourth crime falling under the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court (ICC) (RS Article 5).

Following the 2010 amendments defining the crime and the modalities for ICC jurisdiction, a decision by the States Parties on activation is scheduled to occur at the 16th session of the Assembly of States Parties (ASP) to the Rome Statute in December 2017.

Background

From May-June 2010, the first Review Conference of the Rome Statute of the ICC took place in Kampala, Uganda. States Parties and non-states parties gathered to review the implementation of the treaty since its entry into force in July 2002.

Several amendments to the Statute were also considered at this time, including a proposed definition and conditions of activation and jurisdiction for the crime of aggression, one of the core crimes of the ICC’s jurisdiction under Article 5 of the Statute.

After much discussion, an agreement was reached resulting in the adoption of the so-called Kampala Amendments, the crime of aggression included, on 10-11 June 2010.

Crime of Aggression amendments

1. Article 8 bis provides a definition for the crime of aggression: “the planning, preparation, initiation or execution, by a person in a position effectively to exercise control over or to direct the political or military action of a State, of an act of aggression which, by its
2. character, gravity and scale, constitutes a manifest violation of the Charter of the United Nations.”

2. Articles 15 bis and ter outline the process for activating the Court’s exercise of jurisdiction as well as the modalities regarding State Party referral, the ICC Prosecutor’s proprio motu authority, and referral by the UN Security Council (UNSC).

Rome Statute amendments enter into force for a State one year after ratification or acceptance by that State. However, entry into force of the crime of aggression amendments is not sufficient to enable the Court to exercise jurisdiction; two further conditions must be met.

Conditions for the exercise of jurisdiction

1. The amendments must have been ratified or accepted by at least 30 RS States Parties, after which 1 year must pass before the Court could exercise its jurisdiction; and,

2. The ASP must take a decision after 1 January 2017, by consensus or at least 2/3 majority, to allow the Court to begin exercising its jurisdiction.

Note: As of 1 October, 2017, 34 RS States Parties have ratified the crime of aggression amendments, thereby fulfilling the first condition.

Once both of these conditions are met, the Court may exercise jurisdiction over the crime of aggression, but further requirements must be observed, depending on the situation.

 Situations that would allow for the ICC to exercise its jurisdiction

1. State referrals and Prosecutor’s proprio motu investigations (Article 15 bis)

In the case of a RS State Party referral, the Court will only be allowed to exercise jurisdiction if the amendments have entered into force for at least one of the States Parties, victim or aggressor, involved. The Prosecutor must then determine there to be a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation. If this occurs, the Prosecutor must notify the UN Secretary-General of the situation.

The UNSC itself has the authority to determine whether an act of aggression has been committed (an authority accorded to the UNSC by Article 39 of the UN Charter). The Prosecutor must allow the UNSC six months to make a determination. Where the UNSC has
made a determination that an act of aggression has been committed, the Prosecutor may proceed with the investigation.

If such a determination is not made within six months, the Prosecutor may still proceed with an investigation but only with authorization of the Pre-Trial Chamber.

The same conditions apply in the case of an investigation initiated by the Prosecutor (*proprio motu*).

2. UN Security Council referrals (Article 15 ter)

If the Security Council refers a situation to the ICC under Chapter VII of the UN Charter powers that extend to the entire international community, the Prosecutor has the authority to investigate any of the four core crimes under RS Article 5, including the crime of aggression, committed in any territory by any state’s national.

**Note:** In this situation, the Court is able to exercise jurisdiction over crimes of aggression involving States Parties, regardless of their individual ratification status or “opt-out” status, and non-states parties alike.

**Opt-outs and exclusions from the ICC’s jurisdiction**

**States Parties** have the option to “opt-out” of the Court’s jurisdiction over the crime of aggression when exercised via state referral or *proprio motu* powers, by submitting a declaration with the Registrar of the Court. The declaration must be submitted *before* the act of aggression is committed.

**Nationals of non-states parties** to the Rome Statute are excluded from the Court’s jurisdiction over the crime of aggression when exercised by way of state referral or *proprio motu* powers, even if the victim state has accepted the jurisdiction.

However, where **UNSC referrals** are concerned, the Court is able to exercise jurisdiction over crimes of aggression committed on the territories or by nationals of States Parties and non-states parties alike.

---

1 Observers have expressed the concern that this augmented role of the UNSC in determining whether criminal acts have taken place risks supplanting the independent investigations and role of the Prosecutor as well as the apolitical vision of the judicial process.
Table of jurisdictional regime of the Crime of Aggression applicable to Rome Statute States Parties

(RS Article 15 bis: State referrals & proprio motu)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggressor State has ratified and NOT opted out</th>
<th>Victim state has ratified the amendments</th>
<th>Victim state has NOT ratified the amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction: YES</td>
<td>Jurisdiction: YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction: YES/NO*</td>
<td>Jurisdiction: NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction: NO</td>
<td>Jurisdiction: NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction: NO</td>
<td>Jurisdiction: NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One area of divergent interpretation surrounding these amendments to the Rome Statute relates to the exercise of jurisdiction under Article 15 bis where an aggressor state (from which nationals have committed an alleged crime of aggression) has not ratified the Kampala amendments and has not opted out of the Court’s exercise of jurisdiction.

Application of jurisdiction to Rome Statute States Parties

The reported view held by the majority of States Parties is that once the two conditions (ratification by at least 30 States Parties and the decision by the ASP) are met, the Court’s jurisdiction over the crime of aggression applies to all States Parties (unless an opt-out declaration has been submitted), regardless of their ratification status of the crime of aggression amendments.

An alternate view holds that the Court’s exercise of jurisdiction over the crime of aggression under Article 15 bis only applies to the States Parties which have ratified the amendments, recalling RS Article 121 (5).
What’s next?

As of 1 October 2017, 34 RS States Parties have ratified the crime of aggression amendments. In November 2016, the ASP decided to establish a facilitation, based in New York and open only to RS States Parties, to discuss activation of the Court’s exercise of jurisdiction over the crime of aggression. The goal of this facilitation is to reach consensus on outstanding jurisdictional questions prior to an activation decision. The decision by the States Parties is expected to occur at the 16th session of the ASP in December 2017.

About the ICC and Rome Statute system

Established by international treaty, the Rome Statute, the ICC is the only permanent international judicial body capable of trying individuals for genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes when national courts are unable or unwilling to do so. It is an independent institution and can only prosecute crimes that occurred from 2002 onwards – the date of its establishment.

The Court may only exercise jurisdiction if:

- The accused is a national of a state party or a state that has accepted the jurisdiction of the Court; or
- The alleged crime took place on the territory of a state party or a non-state party which has made an ad hoc declaration accepting the jurisdiction of the Court; or
- The UN Security Council has referred the situation to the prosecutor, irrespective of the nationality of the accused or whether the state is a party to the Rome Statute.

An investigation may be opened by the ICC prosecutor in three ways:

- A referral of a situation by a state party; or
- A referral by the UN Security Council; or
- Of own accord (propiu motu) following a preliminary examination. Authorization by ICC judges required.

Central to the Court’s mandate is the principle of complementarity, which holds that it is the primary responsibility of states themselves to investigate and prosecute those suspected of having committed crimes. The ICC will only act if states are unable or unwilling to investigate and prosecute alleged perpetrators on their own.

---

Criminal responsibility will be applied equally to all persons without distinction as to whether he or she is a head of state or government, a member of a government or parliament, an elected representative or a government official.

About the Coalition for the ICC

The Coalition for the International Criminal Court is a global network of more than 2500 civil society organizations in over 150 countries working in partnership to strengthen international cooperation with the ICC; ensure that the Court is fair, effective and independent; make justice both visible and universal; and advance stronger national laws that deliver justice to victims of war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide. www.coalitionfortheicc.org

Where to find more information

- Coalition for the International Criminal Court website
- International Criminal Court website
- Rome Statute of the ICC